

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION, NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/074,331	02/12/2002	Marc J.M. Tricard	BD-03991	5710
7.	590 07/08/2003			
SAINT-GOBAIN CORPORATION 1 New Bond Street P.O. Box 15138			EXAMINER	
			ROSE, ROBERT A	
Worcester, MA	01615-0138		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	~
			DATE MAILED: 07/08/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/074,331

Applicant(s)

Tricard et al

Examiner

**Robert Rose** 

Art Unit **3723** 



	I ne IVIAILIING DATE OF this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
	for Reply	
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET	TO EXPIRE <u>three</u> MONTH(S) FROM
	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
•	g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within t	he statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely
- If NO	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Any re	oto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the poly received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the control	
Status	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	
1) 🔯	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Feb 12, 2	2002
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 💢 This act	
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
_	tion of Claims	
4) 🗶	Claim(s) <u>1-29</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4	fa) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1, 2, 4-9, 11-18, 20-26, 28, and 29	is/are rejected.
7) 💢	Claim(s) 3, 10, 19, and 27	is/are objected to.
8) 🗌	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	ation Papers	
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) 🗆	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the c	
11)		is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13)□	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) [	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:	
	1. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received.
	2. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received in Application No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority d	ocuments have been received in this National Stage
*S	application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of th	
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
a) [	The translation of the foreign language provisions	al application has been received.
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachm	ent(s)	
1)	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) X Inf	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)4	6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/074331 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Receipt is acknowledged of Applicant's Prior Art Statement, filed February 12, 2002.
- 2. Claims 1-29 are presented for examination.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-2, and 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Corcoran, Jr. et al. Corcoran, Jr. et al disclose a gang saw blade for cutting through silicon wafers comprising all of the subject matter set forth in applicant's claims above. Note the use of a polyamide resin and metal particles in the composition of the abrasive disks.
- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 9, 11-18, 20-26, and 28-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Corcoran, Jr. et al. To apply the method of Corcoran, Jr. et al to the particular problem of separating one quad flat, no lead, integrated circuit package from another would have

Application/Control Number: 10/074331 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

been an obvious application of the method, since it is known to dice such integrated circuits by abrasive cutting saws after manufacture in batch on a single wafer.

7. Claims 3, 10, 19, and 27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert Rose at telephone number (703) 308-1360.

 $\mathbf{rr}$ 

June 24, 2003.

ROBERT A. ROSE PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 323